IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.74693-M of 2006

DATE OF DECISION:25.1.2007

Gobind Ram & Ors. .....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab .....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present:- Shri HPS Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Shri N.S. Gill, AAG, Punjab.

****

The petitioners apprehending their arrest in a non-bailable offence in case FIR No. 185 dated 27.8.2006 under Sections 326,325,324,323,341,506,148,149,120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Patran, Tehsil Patran, District Patiala have filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for anticipatory bail.

I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the contents of the FIR.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that initially an FIR was registered under Section 323,324,341,506,148,149 and 120-B IPC. As per the version in the FIR, the petitioner have only raised lalkara and have not been attributed any injury to any person. Counsel further contends that subsequently offence under Section 325 and 326 IPC was added. Counsel also contends that the petitioners have not been attributed any injury, which falls under Section 325 and 326 IPC and they have been falsely implicated in this case.

Counsel for the petitioners further contends that in view of the order dated 28.11.2006, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

Counsel for the respondent-State does not dispute this fact and further states that the petitioners are no more required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the interim bail, granted vide order dated 28.11.2006 is made absolute subject to the same terms and conditions.

Disposed of accordingly.

January 25, 2007 **(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)**
pooja **JUDGE**